

Forsmark site investigation

Meteorological monitoring at Forsmark, January–December 2009

Cari Andersson, Jörgen Jones
SMHI

February 2010

Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB
Swedish Nuclear Fuel
and Waste Management Co
Box 250, SE-101 24 Stockholm
Phone +46 8 459 84 00



Forsmark site investigation

Meteorological monitoring at Forsmark, January–December 2009

Cari Andersson, Jörgen Jones
SMHI

February 2010

Keywords: AP PF 400-08-006, Meteorological stations, Precipitation, Air temperature, Barometric pressure, Wind speed, Wind direction, Air humidity, Global radiation, Calculated potential evapotranspiration.

This report concerns a study which was conducted for SKB. The conclusions and viewpoints presented in the report are those of the authors. SKB may draw modified conclusions, based on additional literature sources and/or expert opinions.

Data in SKB's database can be changed for different reasons. Minor changes in SKB's database will not necessarily result in a revised report. Data revisions may also be presented as supplements, available at www.skb.se.

A pdf version of this document can be downloaded from www.skb.se.

Abstract

In the Forsmark area, SKB's meteorological monitoring started in 2003 at the sites Storskäret and Högmasten. However, since July 1, 2007 measurements are only performed at Högmasten. Measured and calculated parameters at Högmasten are precipitation and corrected precipitation, air temperature, barometric pressure, wind speed and direction, air humidity, global radiation and potential evapotranspiration. The Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, SMHI, has been responsible for planning and design, as well as for the operation of the stations used for meteorological monitoring.

In general, the quality of the meteorological measurements during the period concerned, starting January 1, 2009 and ending December 31, 2009 has shown to be good.

Sammanfattning

I Forsmarksområdet påbörjades SKB:s meteorologiska mätningar 2003 på platserna Storskäret och Högmasten. Mätningarna på Storskäret upphörde 2007-06-30. Sedan 2007-07-01 sker mätningar endast vid Högmasten. De meteorologiska parametrar som mäts och beräknas är nederbörd, korrigeras nederbörd, lufttemperatur, luftryck, vindhastighet och -riktning, luftfuktighet, globalstrålning och potentiell evapotranspiration. Sveriges Meteorologiska och Hydrologiska Institut, SMHI, har varit ansvarig för såväl utformandet som driften av de meteorologiska mätstationerna.

Kvaliteten hos de meteorologiska mätningarna utförda under perioden 2009-01-01 t o m 2009-12-31 har generellt varit god.

Contents

1	Introduction	7
2	Objective and scope	11
3	Equipment	13
3.1	Meteorological measuring station	13
3.1.1	Calibration of equipment used at meteorological measuring station	13
4	Execution	15
4.1	General	15
4.2	Meteorological measurements	15
4.2.1	Quality check of meteorological data	15
4.2.2	Data handling/post processing	15
4.3	Analyses and interpretations	16
4.3.1	Meteorological measurements	16
4.4	Nonconformities	16
5	Results	17
5.1	Meteorological monitoring	17
5.1.1	Precipitation	17
5.1.2	Air temperature	18
5.1.3	Barometric pressure	19
5.1.4	Wind speed and wind direction	19
5.1.5	Relative humidity	19
5.1.6	Global radiation	19
5.1.7	Calculated potential evapotranspiration	20
	References	21
	Appendix 1 Meteorological monitoring	23
	Appendix 2 Enkel bedömning av nederbördsmätförluster på fyra automatstationer	31

1 Introduction

This document reports the results of meteorological measurements made at Forsmark during the period January – December 2009. The activity is performed within the programme for long-term monitoring after completed site investigations /SKB 2007/, and is carried out in accordance to activity plan SKB AP PF 400-08-006 and the method description SKB MD 364.007 (SKB's internal controlling documents). The controlling documents used in the activity are presented in Table 1-1.

In order to characterise the investigation area regarding meteorological conditions, SMHI originally (2003) placed two stations with meteorological measuring equipment in the Forsmark site investigation area; Högmasten (Forsmark's Nuclear Power Plant) and Storskäret. The results of the meteorological monitoring at these two stations are presented in /Wern and Jones 2006, 2007a, b/. The measurements at Storskäret were completed in June 30, 2007. After that date the meteorological monitoring has continued only at Högmasten, /Wern and Jones 2008, Andersson and Jones 2009/. The results of the meteorological monitoring are used for general site characterisation, water balance calculations and as input data for hydrological and hydrogeological modelling.

The geographical locations of the meteorological monitoring stations are displayed in Figure 1-1 together with nearby SMHI stations and MESAN-points referred to in the present report. MESAN is an automatic system for mesoscale analysis of meteorological parameters built on manual as well as automatic observations, including satellite and radar information. Figure 1-2 shows a detailed map of the location of the two SKB stations, and the coordinates of the two stations are presented in Table 1-2. Only the station at Högmasten was active during the monitoring period presented in this report.

Table 1-1. Controlling documents for performance of the activity.

Activity plan	Number	Version
Meteorologisk monitering 2009	SKB AP PF 400-08-006	1.0
Method description	Number	Version
Metodbeskrivning för meteorologiska mätningar	SKB MD 364.007	1.0

Table 1-2. SKB's monitoring stations. Coordinates in “RT 90 2,5 gon W 0:-15”.

Identity	X	Y	Type	Name
PFM010700	6700525	1631046	Meteorological station	Högmasten (Forsmark)
PFM010701	6697827	1634659	Meteorological station	Storskäret (not active since 1 July 2007)




Figure 1-1. Map showing the location of SMHI's monitoring stations (capital letters), SKB's stations (lower-case letters), and the MESAN-points (red points). Of the two SKB stations, only the one at Högmaster is active since July 1, 2007. (For the SMHI stations A stands for automatic station. D stands for manual station when both automatic and manual stations exist with the same name, while no added letter means that there is only a manual station.)




Figure 1-2. A detailed map showing the location of Högmasten (Forsmark) and Storskäret.

2 Objective and scope

SKB carries out site investigations at the Forsmark area. SMHI, commissioned by SKB, installed two stations with meteorological measuring equipment at the site to characterise the meteorological conditions. Only the station at Högmasten is now active. The monitoring results will, besides for meteorological characterisation, be used for water balance calculations and as input data for hydrological and hydrogeological modelling.

The objective of this report is to present quality checked results from the meteorological monitoring at Högmasten during the period from January 1, 2009 until December 31, 2009.

3 Equipment

3.1 Meteorological measuring station

Table 3-1 gives technical information about the equipment. A polycarbonate cupboard houses a data logger (type Campbell CR10X), modems (Siemens TC35 and COM200E). The equipment is earthed for lightning protection.

The wind is measured at 10 m above ground level and the other parameters at 2 m height.

3.1.1 Calibration of equipment used at meteorological measuring station

FDS Mätteknik calibrated the instruments using data submitted by the manufacturers in connection with the instrument installations.

FDS Mätteknik made a service and calibration of the instruments at Högmasten on August 6, 2009. The service report showed that the instruments are in good condition.

Table 3-1. Measuring equipment for collecting meteorological data at Högmasten.

Parameters	Equipment
Precipitation	Geonor T200 complete with pedestal and wind shield
Air temperature	Pt100 sensor with radiation shield and ventilated Young 41004
Barometric pressure	PTB200
Wind speed and direction	RM Young Wind monitor
Air humidity	Rotronic HygroClip MP 100H
Global radiation	Kipp & Zonen CM21 with warming and fan

4 Execution

4.1 General

This execution chapter is intended to describe the complete course of events, from measuring at Högmasten, via quality check and data handling to the storage in Sicada.

Two abbreviations are frequently used in this context; HMS and Sicada. HMS (Hydro Monitoring System) is SKB's network for the monitoring of meteorological, hydrological and hydrogeological parameters. This is a system for collection, calculation, data check up and presentation. Sicada is the database that contains all of SKB's quality assured data. Original data from the reported activity are stored in the primary database Sicada. Data are traceable in Sicada by the activity plan number (AP PF 400-08-006). Only data in databases are accepted for further interpretation and modelling. The data presented in this report are regarded as copies of the original data. Data in the databases may be revised, if needed. Such revisions will not necessarily result in a revision of the P-report, although the normal procedure is that major revisions entail a revision of the P-report. Minor revisions are normally presented as supplements, available at www.skb.se.

4.2 Meteorological measurements

Data are measured every half-hour. The different parameters are valid for the following time periods:

- Precipitation: Accumulated sum of precipitation every 30 min. The 30-minutes precipitation value is the difference between two adjacent accumulated precipitation sums.
- Air temperature: 30-minutes mean of one-second values.
- Barometric pressure: 30-minutes mean of one-second values.
- Wind speed and wind direction: The latest 10-minutes mean value for the actual 30 minutes. Hence, for the 10:00 data the measurement is from 09:51 to 10:00.
- Relative humidity: 30-minutes mean of one-second values.
- Global radiation: 30-minutes mean of one-second values.

4.2.1 Quality check of meteorological data

Before data finally are stored in SKB's database Sicada they are checked and approved by SMHI. Every week a primary check for missing and incorrect values is performed by SMHI and every third month a check is made by a meteorologist at SMHI who approves data, calculates potential evapotranspiration and estimates the true (corrected) precipitation before delivery for final storage in SKB's database Sicada.

4.2.2 Data handling/post processing

Data that were not checked were transferred from SMHI to SKB daily via FTP (File Transfer Protocol), while quality checked data were transferred every third month.

The data logger at the station has an internal memory to secure the data in case of communication disturbances. The system is called upon every three hours through SMHI's air quality system AIRVIRO, where data are stored and the quality assurance and check is done. After this check has been performed, data are delivered to SKB's HMS-database.

SMHI has, commissioned by SKB, constructed a homepage where the results of the measurements can be shown as graphs and from which data can be extracted. The address is <http://www.airviro.smhi.se/forsmark/>.

4.3 Analyses and interpretations

4.3.1 Meteorological measurements

SMHI has continuously checked the collected data, i.e. checked that data are within the limits of reason for each parameter. Data have also been compared with data from SMHI's analysing system MESAN. The MESAN-values are interpolated from the nearest grid points in MESAN. The resolution of MESAN is 11×11 km and an analysis is made every hour. Corrected data have been stored in a special database. The coordinates of the nearest grid point are presented in Table 4-1, and in Figure 1-1 they are shown on a map.

4.4 Nonconformities

There are no nonconformities that affect the results or nonconformities with respect to the activity plan or the method description.

Table 4-1. MESAN grid points.

Latitude	Longitude
60.40	18.15
60.36	18.34
60.45	18.42
60.49	18.24

5 Results

5.1 Meteorological monitoring

The meteorological measurements have turned out to work very well during the period for all parameters. However, the 30-minutes values of precipitation showed too high values, a fact that was described already in 2003 /Wern and Jones 2006/. This is due to the high sensitivity of the instrument and to the high frequency of the precipitation measurements. However, the software in the data logger is improving the quality of the data afterwards at the station.

The locations of all monitoring stations, from which results are presented below, are shown in Figures 1-1 and 1-2. In Appendix 1, daily values are displayed for all parameters except for precipitation and wind direction. As an example of the high-resolution variations during a month, data from January 2009 are presented for all parameters, including precipitation and wind direction.

5.1.1 Precipitation

The monthly precipitation for the SMHI stations situated at different distances and directions from Forsmark (see Figure 1-1) is presented in Table 5-1 and Figure 5-1 below. “Film’s Kyrkby A” and “Örskär A” are automatic stations whereas the others are manual stations. The precipitation differs substantially between stations and between months. The presented precipitation values are all checked and approved by SMHI. However, the values are not corrected for wind, wetting and evaporation losses. The correction factors are listed in Table 5-2.

The precipitation at Högmasten is presented in Table 5-3. “001” in the table means originally measured value, “COR” means corrected and approved value by SMHI, “ALX” is an estimation of the true precipitation, and “MES” means analysed values from MESAN.

The method for estimating the true precipitation (ALX) is the same method as used for the SMHI stations. Table 5-4 gives the corrections (in percent) for each month. More information about the estimation of true precipitation can be found in /Alexandersson 2005/ (Appendix 2).




Figure 5-1. Monthly precipitation in mm at SMHI's stations. The values are not corrected for wind, wetting and evaporation losses.

Table 5-1. Monthly measured precipitation in mm at SMHI's stations. These values are not corrected for wind, wetting and evaporation losses.

Precipitation (mm)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	2009
Film's Kyrkby D	33.9	25.3	28.9	3.8	49.9	137.3	115.7	138.5	18.9	55.1	68.5	54.4	730.2
Film's Kyrkby A	29.3	22.6	25.3	3.6	45.6	139.3	121.2	141.3	17.3	54.0	65.4	43.8	708.7
Lövsta	35.3	27.1	28.3	4.6	25.3	121.6	147.0	73.8	25.8	65.7	66.4	59.8	680.7
Risinge	25.1	18.9	28.3	8.2	34.4	110.1	107.1	69.4	14.3	50.7	54.1	49.8	570.4
Östhammar	27.2	18.6	31.1	9.4	43.5	106	115.5	64.5	10.4	44.8	57.6	39.6	568.2
Söderby-Karlsäng	30.2	20.9	36.1	11.1	37.8	93.9	110.4	68.4	14.5	50.8	57.5	55.1	586.7
Örskär	20.4	16.6	19.7	8.2	18.1	58.4	101.2	64.0	22.9	33.4	55.7	30.8	449.4

Table 5-2. Corrections in percent of SMHI's stations according to /Alexandersson 2003/.

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year
Örskär A	19	22	23	15	15	13	13	15	14	15	17	20	16
Östhammar	9	13	10	9	9	12	8	9	8	7	8	10	9
Lövsta	10	9	12	10	11	12	8	8	8	8	9	9	9
Risinge	11	12	10	11	13	12	8	8	8	9	8	9	9
Film's Kyrkby A	13	16	19	15	13	14	11	13	13	13	14	16	14
Film's Kyrkby D	9	9	12	9	13	13	8	8	8	9	8	10	10
Söderby-Karlsäng D	10	11	10	10	12	12	9	9	8	8	8	9	10

Table 5-3. Monthly precipitation in mm at SKB's station Högmasten. "001" in the table means originally measured value, "COR" means corrected and approved value by SMHI, "ALX" is the estimation of the true precipitation, and "MES" is analysed values from MESAN.

Precipitation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	2009
COR	30.7	22.9	28.8	4.7	28.1	95.1	99.9	52.7	13.4	47.4	59.0	50.5	533.2
ALX	34.5	25.9	32.4	5.2	30.9	104.6	109.9	58.0	14.7	52.1	65.2	56.2	589.5
001	30.7	22.8	28.9	4.6	28.0	95.5	99.9	52.6	20.5	47.4	59.0	50.6	540.5
MESAN	15.2	18.1	27.3	15.4	58.7	96.4	128.6	68.2	20.7	49.7	65.8	39.0	603.0

Table 5-4. Corrections in percent of SKB's station according to /Alexandersson 2005/ (Appendix 2).

	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Year
Högmasten	13	14	13	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	11	12	11

The registered 30-minutes precipitation values have to be filtered before storage. That is because the instrument is very sensitive and registers incorrectly small values of precipitation.

The accumulated uncorrected precipitation from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009 from the different SMHI stations is compared in Table 5-5. These values can be compared with the COR-values from Forsmark in the same table. The values at the stations from SMHI are higher, except for Örskär.

As an example of high-resolution precipitation data, Figure A1-7 in Appendix 1 shows the 30-minutes precipitation values for January 2009 for Forsmark.

5.1.2 Air temperature

A graph of daily temperature is presented in Figure A1-1 in Appendix 1. Values from Forsmark (Högmasten) and MESAN are exposed. Figure A1-8 shows the 30-minutes values for January 2009. The values correspond very well with each other.

Table 5-5. Precipitation in mm from January 1, 2009, to December 31, 2009. The uncorrected values given for the SMHI stations correspond to the COR-values at SKB's stations).

Precipitation (mm)	2009
Forsmark (Högmaстen) COR	533.2
Forsmark (Högmaстen) ALX	589.5
<hr/>	
Precipitation (mm)	2009
Film's Kyrkby D	730.2
Film's Kyrkby A	708.7
Lövsta	680.7
Risinge	570.4
Östhammar	568.2
Söderby-Karlsäng	586.7
Örskär	449.4
<hr/>	
Precipitation ALX (mm)	2009
Film's Kyrkby D	801.4
Film's Kyrkby A	804.0
Lövsta	744.2
Risinge	625.5
Östhammar	621.2
Söderby-Karlsäng	643.4
Örskär	519.6

5.1.3 Barometric pressure

A graph of the daily barometric pressure is shown in Figure A1-2 in Appendix 1. Values from Forsmark (Högmaстen) and MESAN-values are presented. Figure A1-9 shows the 30-minutes values for January 2009. The two curves are nearly identical.

5.1.4 Wind speed and wind direction

A graph of the wind speed (daily mean) is illustrated in Figure A1-3 in Appendix 1. Values from Högmaстen (Forsmark) and MESAN-values are presented. Figure A1-10 shows the 30-min values for January 2009. The wind speeds are higher from MESAN compared to Högmaстen. This can be explained by the fact that MESAN-values represent wind at 10 m above ground level for a grid area with mixed vegetation while the Högmaстen site represents wind at 10 m above ground level in forest vegetation.

In Figure A1-11 in Appendix 1, the wind directions for the same stations are compared for January 2009. The data correspond well to each other.

5.1.5 Relative humidity

A graph of relative humidity is presented in Figure A1-4 in Appendix 1. Values from Forsmark (Högmaстen) and MESAN-values are displayed. Figure A1-12 shows the 30-minutes values for January 2009. The two curves follow each other very well.

5.1.6 Global radiation

A graph of the daily sum of global radiation is presented in Figure A1-5 in Appendix 1. Figure A1-13 in Appendix 1 shows the 30-min values for January 2009. Values from Forsmark (Högmaстen) and Strång-values are presented. Strång is the analysed global radiation from the SMHI radiation model, which uses data from MESAN. Values from Strång (MESAN) correspond well to measured global radiation at Forsmark (Högmaстen).

During days with a clear sky, for example June 24 – June 27, 2009, it is obvious that something blocks the view of the sensor (Figure 5-2). Every day at about 08:00 there is a notch in the graph. This is due to the fact, that the high mast of the nuclear plant shadows the global radiation instrument.

5.1.7 Calculated potential evapotranspiration

The potential evapotranspiration E_p is calculated from the Penman equation:

$$E_p = \left(\frac{\Delta \cdot (R_n - G)}{(\Delta + \gamma) \cdot L} + \frac{\gamma \cdot f(u) \cdot (e_s - e)}{(\Delta + \gamma)} \right) \cdot tstep$$

where

Δ	proportionality constant
R_n	net radiation flux density
G	heat flux density into ground
γ	psychrometric constant
$f(u)$	function of wind speed
e_s	saturated water vapor pressure
e	water vapor pressure
L	latent heat of vaporisation
$tstep$	time step

The method is described in detail in /Eriksson 1981/.

Measured data every 30 minutes of temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and global radiation are required as input data to the equation to calculate the potential evapotranspiration. The potential evapotranspiration is much higher at Örskär compared to at Forsmark and Film's Kyrkby.

During the period January – December 2009 the calculated potential evapotranspiration at Högmasten was 470 mm and at Film's Kyrkby 528 mm, while at Örskär it was 673 mm. The reason for this difference is mainly that the wind speed is much higher at Örskär (sea station).

A graph of the potential evapotranspiration for Forsmark (Högmasten) is presented in Figure A1-6 in Appendix 1. Figure A1-14 shows the 30-minutes values for June 2009.




Figure 5-2. Global radiation 24–27 June 2009.

References

- Alexandersson H, 2003.** Korrektion av nederbörd enligt enkel klimatologisk metodik. SMHI, Meteorologi, Nr 111. (In Swedish.)
- Alexandersson H, 2005.** Enkel bedömnning av nederbördsförluster på fyra automatstationer (see Appendix 2). (In Swedish.)
- Andersson C, Jones J, 2009.** Meteorological monitoring at Forsmark, January 2008 until December 2008. SKB P-09-04, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.
- Eriksson B, 1981.** Den ”potentiella” evapotranspirationen i Sverige. SMHI, RMK 28. (In Swedish.).
- SKB, 2007.** Forsmark site investigation. Programme for long-term observations of geosphere and biosphere after completed site investigations. SKB R-07-34, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.
- Wern L, Jones J, 2006.** Meteorological monitoring at Forsmark, June 2003 until July 2005. SKB P-05-221, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.
- Wern L, Jones J, 2007a.** Meteorological monitoring at Forsmark, August 2005 until September 2006. SKB P-06-322, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.
- Wern L, Jones J, 2007b.** Meteorological monitoring at Forsmark, October 2006 until June 2007. SKB P-07-175, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.
- Wern L, Jones J, 2008.** Meteorological monitoring at Forsmark, July 2007 – December 2007. SKB P-08-100, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.


Meteorological monitoring

Figure A1-1. Temperature in °C, daily values, January 2009 – December 2009.




Figure A1-2. Barometric pressure in hPa, daily values, January 2009 – December 2009.




Figure A1-3. Wind speed in m/s, daily values, January 2009 – December 2009.




Figure A1-4. Relative humidity in %, daily values, January 2009 – December 2009.




Figure A1-5. Global radiation in Wh/m^2 , daily sum, January 2009 – December 2009.




Figure A1-6. Potential evapotranspiration in mm, daily sum, January 2009 – December 2009.




Figure A1-7. Precipitation in mm, 30-min. values, January 2009. (Blue line: accumulated value, red line: single 30-min. values.)



Figure A1-8. Temperature in °C, 30-min. values, January 2009. (Blue line: measurements, red line: interpolated values from MESAN.)




Figure A1-9. Barometric pressure in hPa, 30-min. values, January 2009. (Blue line: measurements, red line: interpolated values from MESAN.)




Figure A1-10. Wind speed in m/s, 30-min. values, January 2009. (Blue line: measurements, red line: interpolated values from MESAN.)




Figure A1-11. Wind direction in °, 30-min. values, January 2009. (Blue line: measurements, red line: interpolated values from MESAN.)




Figure A1-12. Relative humidity in %, 30-min. values, January 2009. (Blue line: measurements, red line: interpolated values from MESAN.)




Figure A1-13. Global radiation in W/m^2 , 30-min. values, January 2009. (Blue line: measurements, red line: interpolated values from MESAN.)




Figure A1-14. Potential evapotranspiration in mm, 30-min. values, June 2009.

Appendix 2

Enkel bedömning av nederbördsmätförluster på fyra automatstationer

Av Hans Alexandersson

För fyra stationer med GEONOR-nederbördsmätare, två nära Forssmark och två nära Simpevarp, har en bedömning av mätförluster gjorts enligt samma kriterier som i /Alexandersson 2003/. För bedömningen användes främst ett antal foton samt kartor med det exakta läget. Efter att stationerna klassats med avseende på vindutsatthet las denna information in i samma program som tidigare körts för SMHI:s stationsnät.

Vindförlusterna är under i övrigt lika betingelser större för GEONOR-mätaren än för den traditionella manuella mätaren (SMHI-kannan) som används i Sverige. Därför läggs det på lite extra korrektion för GEONOR-mätaren inom respektive vindklass. För GEONOR-mätaren kombineras adhesions- och avdunstningförluster.

Följande vindklasser (1 perfekt, 7 ytterst olämplig) och temperaturstationer användes:

Högmasten	2	Forsmark
Storskäret	2	Forsmark
Äspö	4	Oskarshamn
Plittorp	2	Oskarshamn

Alla stationer utom i viss mån Äspö sitter alltså väldigt bra placerade. Klass 2 är en så gott som ideal placering, 4 är dock en placering som ger lite större förluster. I stort sett sitter tre av mätarna så bra det är möjligt i en kustzon med ofta relativt höga vindhastigheter i samband med nederbörd. Mätaren på Äspö sitter dock på en något välvd kulle med berg i dagen, men egentligen med tämligen bra skydd av träd för att vara en ö. Trädridåer finns på 20–30 meters håll i alla riktningar utom i någon smal glipa ungefär mot ostnordost. Tillhörande temperaturstationer användes för att ge ett mått på den genomsnittliga andelen snönederbörd.

Programmet som körts ger primärt uppmätta och korrigrade normalvärden. För dessa stationer har (fiktiva) uppmätta normalvärden tagits från nämnda grannstationer. Sedan har korrekctioner i % beräknats för varje månad utifrån dessa uppmätta respektive korrigrade värden. Det är mest praktiskt att använda faktorer och det ger inget nämnvärt fel (mot att t ex ge adhesionsfelet som ett absolutbelopp vid ett visst ”nederbördstillfälle”) sett över lite längre perioder.

Följande tabell med korrekctioner i % erhölls:

Plats	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Maj	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Okt	Nov	Dec
Högmasten	13	14	13	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	11	12
Storskäret	13	14	13	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	11	12
Äspö	21	21	19	16	14	14	14	14	14	16	17	20
Plittorp	12	13	12	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	10	12

För Högmasten och Storskäret, som fått identiska korrekctioner i denna bedömning, ska sårunda nederbörden i januari multipliceras med 1.13. Korrekctionen kan, då det bara är en faktor, tillämpas på timvärden men man får behålla några decimaler så att summor över längre tid – beräknade som summor av timvärden – blir korrigrade enligt samma faktor som vid en direkt korrektion av t ex en dygnssumma.

Vid en efterkontroll jämfördes korrigrade värden med motsvarande korrigrade manuella mätningar i närheten. Dessa jämförelser baserades dock bara på cirka två års mätningar. Manuella jämförelsestationer var främst Östhammar för Forssmarks mätarna och Kråkemåla för Simpevarps mätarna. Även de ytterligaste analyserna i Väder och Vatten utnyttjades. De först antagna vindförlustklasserna

behövde därvid **ej** omprövas då de korrigrade mängderna föll in tillräckligt väl i mönstret. Vid jämförelsen var den mest släende olikheten att Östhammar hade närmare dubbelt nederbörd jämfört med Högmasten och Storskäret under höstmånaderna 2004. Vid en kontroll av hur analyserna såg ut för dessa månader var det dock släende hur stark gradienten var i detta område. Nederbördens avtakning nämligen snabbt åt norr och nordväst längs denna del av Upplandskusten. För övrigt var det nästan motsatta förhållanden hösten 2003, medan det som helhet var mycket likartade och mycket starkt korrelerade månadsvärden.

Förslag på individuella korrekctioner för varje mätillfälle och som funktion av vindhastighet vid mätarens öppning samt rådande temperatur finns publicerade /Førland et al. 1996/. Dessa samband är dock ganska komplicerade att tillämpa, bl a då vind ej mäts vid själva nederbördsmätarens öppning. En sådan metod kan heller inte ta hänsyn till närmiljöns inflytande på vindfältet inklusive vertikala vindarna, ett inflytande som kan vara stort i komplicerade miljöer. Här har vi i stället valt att satsa på en enklare korrektion vars huvudsyfte är att ge någotsnär sann nederbörd sett över en lite längre tid.

Slutligen kan sägas att röjning av buskar och kanske vid något tillfälle träd bör ske så ofta att inga buskar eller träd når mer än cirka 45° över horisonten sett från mätarens öppning. Röjning bör då troligen behöva utföras med några års mellanrum i de fall det inte är mest berg i dagen nära mätaren.

Referenser

Alexandersson H, 2003. Korrektion av nederbörd enligt enkel klimatologisk metodik. SMHI, Meteorologi, nr 111, 51 sidor.

Førland E J, Allerup P, Dahlström B, Elomaa E, Jónsson T, Madsen H, Perälä J, Rissanen P, Vedin H, Vejen F, 1996. Manual for operational correction of Nordic precipitation data. DNMI Klima 24/96, 66 pages.